



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/586,427

04/05/2007

Mikhail Andreevich Brabfilev

VO-769

4879

42419 7590 09/04/2008  
PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON  
2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD  
SUITE 365  
HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, PHONG H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3724

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/04/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                                 |                                      |   |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b><i>Interview Summary</i></b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/586,427 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>BRABFILEV, MIKHAIL<br>ANDREEVICH |  |
|                                 | <b>Examiner</b><br>PHONG H. NGUYEN   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3724                                 |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. PHONG H. NGUYEN. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. MARK SWANSON. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Huntington (4,782,731).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant may define the cutting teeth facing "outwardly" to overcome prior art. The examiner may consider the planar surfaces of the blade as outward direction. Therefore, the vertical surfaces of tooth 16 face outwardly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|                                       |                 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| /P. H. N./<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3724 | August 29, 2008 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|